



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,820	04/04/2001	Eric J. Horvitz	MS150904.1	2915
27195	7590	08/12/2008	EXAMINER	
AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114				SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2623				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@the patentattorneys.com  
hholmes@the patentattorneys.com  
lpasterchek@the patentattorneys.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/825,820	HORVITZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DOMINIC D. SALTARELLI	2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DOMINIC D. SALTARELLI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Todd Behrens. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,18 and 53.

Identification of prior art discussed: Maisel and Herz.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed that the following language, when added to the independent claims, would overcome the rejections of record: "means for automatically broadening the particular one of the plurality of time subintervals into at least one addition time subinterval when the recommendation yielded from the particular one of the plurality of time subintervals covering the target time period is inadequate".

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dominic D Saltarelli/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2623

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.